

On re-electability, I agree in principle that terms should be limited. In organizations of this size, there is usually an issue over secretary/treasurer roles, since it is hard to find people to stand for office in these positions. I might suggest that the term be limited, unless no other candidates are found willing to step into the role, in which case the holder of the position can be acclaimed.

On fee structure, as I said before, I agree that there should be tiers (such as for retired members), but I would like to see some sort of impact statement on the society's finances for any specific proposal.

I agree that non-payment of dues should not trigger expulsion; situations can arise. I think if a member has clearly lost interest in the IAHS (i.e., not paid dues for several years), then expulsion might be considered. But this should be linked to my previous point, that there is a tiered system to make dues affordable for people in different places or situations.