

Thank you for the statutes and the two other documents and also for putting the comments on your comments pages. I have two further comments:

In the Statutes Article 6.5 I propose to add the following specification of misconduct

“Membership can be withdrawn in case it turns out that the research work in history of science of an academy member involves a flagrant violation of research integrity as specified in the European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity (revised edition 2023, DOI: 10.26356/ECOC),”

* In the regulations for distinctions and prizes, article 1-4

I propose that the nominations should be submitted in English only and

not in the two languages (English and French). My argument is that for historians of science whose native languages are neither English nor French (for example scholars in Russia and China) the requirement of a bilingual dossiers will make it needlessly more complicated to nominate candidates.

If my suggestion is accepted

change point 2 of article 1-4 to

2. A brief one-page presentation in English, followed by a CV in English. The detailed CV should emphasize research and scientific contributions and highlight the originality of the candidate's work as well as their international reputation and activity.

change the last line of 1-4 to

“Dossiers that do not comply with regulations or are submitted beyond the deadlines are invalid.”

Sincerely, Jan P. Hogendijk.